

NUMERICAL DIFFERENTIATION

Used mainly to numerically solve differential equations (next chapter).

In addition to **truncation** error, we must also watch out for **round off** errors.

The **main idea**: select a few nodes (at and near x_0), evaluate $y(x)$ at these, fit interpolating polynomial, differentiate that instead.

Example:

Derive formula for $y'(x_0)$, using $x_0 - h$, x_0 and $x_0 + h$ as nodes.

Interpolating polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_0-h)}{(-h) \cdot (-2h)} y(x_0-h) + \\ & \frac{(x-x_0+h)(x-x_0-h)}{h \cdot (-h)} y(x_0) + \\ & \frac{(x-x_0+h)(x-x_0)}{2h \cdot h} y(x_0+h) \end{aligned}$$

Differentiate, then substituting $x = x_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} y'(x_0) & \simeq \frac{y(x_0-h)}{-2h} + \frac{y(x_0+h)}{2h} \\ & = \frac{y(x_0+h) - y(x_0-h)}{2h} \end{aligned}$$

(the usual slope between the end points).

Taylor expanding the RHS yields:

$$y'(x_0) + \frac{y'''(x_0)}{6} h^2 + \frac{y^v(x_0)}{120} h^4 + \dots$$

The truncation error:

$$\frac{y'''(x_0)}{6} h^2 + \frac{y^v(x_0)}{120} h^4 + \dots$$

Unfortunately, we cannot reduce h indefinitely (round off error).

Formula demonstration:

Approximate $y'(1)$ where $y(x) = \exp(x^2)$. (The exact value is $2e = 5.43656\ 3656$).

h	$\frac{\exp[(1+h)^2] - \exp[(1-h)^2]}{2h}$	Error:
0.1	5.52788 333	0.0913197
0.01	5.43746 980	0.0009061
0.001	5.43657 250	0.0000088
0.0001	5.43656000	0.0000037
0.00001	5.43655000	0.0000136
0.000001	5.43650000	0.0000636

The error should decrease by a factor of 100 in each step - does it?

Richardson Extrapolation (new name for the old Romberg).

Example:

(same as before, h reduced more slowly):

h	$R_i =$ $\frac{\exp[(1+h)^2] - \exp[(1-h)^2]}{2h}$	$S_i = \frac{4R_{i+1} - R_i}{3}$	$T_i = \frac{16S_{i+1} - S_i}{15}$
$\frac{1}{4}$	6. 03135 7050	5. 42938 7349	5. 43657 7469
$\frac{1}{8}$	5. 57987 9776	5. 43612 8086	5. 43656 3886
$\frac{1}{16}$	5. 47206 6010	5. 43653 6649	5. 43656 3708
$\frac{1}{32}$	5. 44541 8990	5. 43656 2016	
$\frac{1}{64}$	5. 43877 6260	$\frac{64T_{i+1} - T_i}{63}$	
		5. 43656 3669	
		5. 43656 3704	

(as close as we can get to the exact answer).

Higher-Degree (more nodes) **Formulas**

To obtain a more accurate formula for $y'(x_0)$, we will now use $x = x_0, x_0 \pm h$ and $x_0 \pm 2h$ as nodes.

Two ways of simplifying the derivation:

1. Assume that $x_0 = 0$ and $h = 1$ (the old X scale), then transform back to x .

Interpolating polynomial:

$$\frac{(X+1)(X-1)(X-2)}{(-1) \times (-3) \times (-4)} Y(-2) + \frac{(X+2)(X-1)(X-2)}{1 \times (-2) \times (-3)} Y(-1) +$$

$$\frac{(X+2)(X+1)(X-2)}{3 \times 2 \times (-1)} Y(1) + \frac{(X+2)(X+1)(X-1)}{4 \times 3 \times 1} Y(2)$$

Differentiate, then set $X = 0$:

$$\frac{(-1)(-2)+1(-2)+1(-1)}{-12} Y(-2) + \frac{(-1)(-2)+2(-2)+2(-1)}{6} Y(-1)$$

$$+ \frac{1(-2)+2(-2)+2 \cdot 1}{-6} Y(1) + \frac{1(-1)+2(-1)+2 \cdot 1}{12} Y(2) =$$

$$\frac{1}{12} Y(-2) - \frac{8}{12} Y(-1) + \frac{8}{12} Y(1) - \frac{1}{12} Y(2)$$

Transform back to x :

$$y'(x_0) \simeq$$

$$\frac{y(x_0 - 2h) - 8y(x_0 - h) + 8y(x_0 + h) - y(x_0 + 2h)}{12h}$$

2. Knowing that the formula must be anti-symmetric (for any odd derivative), i.e.

$$-c_2 Y(-2) - c_1 Y(-1) + c_1 Y(1) + c_2 Y(2)$$

and must be correct for $Y(X) = X, X^3$ (it's **automatically** correct for 1, X^2 and X^4):

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_2 + c_1 + c_1 + 2c_2 &= 1 \\ 8c_2 + c_1 + 8c_1 + c_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

implying $c_1 = -8c_2$ and $c_2 = -\frac{1}{12}$ (same answer as before).

Taylor expanding:

$$\begin{aligned} y(x_0 - 2h) &= \\ y(x_0) - 2h y'(x_0) + \frac{4h^2}{2} y''(x_0) - \frac{8h^3}{6} y'''(x_0) + \frac{16h^4}{24} y^{iv}(x_0) - \dots \\ y(x_0 - h) &= \\ y(x_0) - h y'(x_0) + \frac{h^2}{2} y''(x_0) - \frac{h^3}{6} y'''(x_0) + \frac{h^4}{24} y^{iv}(x_0) - \dots \\ y(x_0 + h) &= \\ y(x_0) + h y'(x_0) + \frac{h^2}{2} y''(x_0) + \frac{h^3}{6} y'''(x_0) + \frac{h^4}{24} y^{iv}(x_0) + \dots \\ y(x_0 + 2h) &= \\ y(x_0) + 2h y'(x_0) + \frac{4h^2}{2} y''(x_0) + \frac{8h^3}{6} y'''(x_0) + \frac{16h^4}{24} y^{iv}(x_0) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Our new formula thus yields:

$$y'(x_0) - \frac{h^4}{30} y^{iv}(x_0) + \dots$$

(a lot smaller truncation error).

Example: (same derivative, one more time):

h	$R_i =$	
$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{-\exp[(1+2h)^2] + 8\exp[(1+h)^2] - 8\exp[(1-h)^2] + \exp[(1-2h)^2]}{12h}$	
$\frac{1}{8}$	5. 30723 9257	
$\frac{1}{16}$	5. 42938 7358	
$\frac{1}{32}$	5. 43612 8081	
	5. 43653 6647	
	$T_i = \frac{16R_{i+1} - R_i}{15}$	$\frac{64T_{i+1} - T_i}{63}$
	5. 43753 0565	5. 43656 2332
	5. 43657 7463	5. 43656 3667
	5. 43656 3885	

(reasonably accurate, even without Richardson).

Nonsymmetric Spacing

Choice of nodes may be restricted (say, to one side of x_0).

Example:

Approximate $y'(x_0)$, using x_0 , $x_0 + h$ and $x_0 + 2h$ as nodes.

In terms of X :

$$c_0Y(0) + c_1Y(1) + c_2Y(2)$$

Make it exact for $Y(X) = 1, X$ and X^2 :

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 + c_1 + c_2 &= 0 \\ c_1 + 2c_2 &= 1 \\ c_1 + 4c_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which yields $c_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$, $c_1 = 2$, $c_0 = -\frac{3}{2}$.

The resulting formula:

$$y'(x_0) \simeq \frac{-3y(x_0) + 4y(x_0 + h) - y(x_0 + 2h)}{2h}$$

Its right hand side expands to

$$y'(x_0) - \frac{h^2}{3}y'''(x_0) - \frac{h^3}{4}y^{iv}(x_0) - \dots$$

The main error term is proportional to h^2 (since we have three nodes).

Example:

Applied to our benchmark problem, this yields:

	$R_i =$	
h	$\frac{-3 \exp(1) + 4 \exp[(1+h)^2] - \exp[(1+2h)^2]}{2h}$	$S_i = \frac{4R_{i+1} - R_i}{3}$
$\frac{1}{16}$	5. 35149 250	5. 43909 0859
$\frac{1}{32}$	5. 41719 127	5. 43685 1443
$\frac{1}{64}$	5. 43193 640	
		$\frac{8S_{i+1} - S_i}{7}$
		5. 43653 1527

(performs worse than the symmetric rule).

Higher Derivatives

To approximate $y''(x_0)$, we first derive a basic three-node (symmetric) formula.

The interpolating polynomial is the same, differentiating it *twice* yields the following result:

$$\frac{y(x_0 - h) - 2y(x_0) + y(x_0 + h)}{h^2}$$

Taylor expanding:

$$y''(x_0) + \frac{y^{iv}(x_0)}{12}h^2 + \dots$$

The round-off error is now substantially bigger than in the $y'(x_0)$ case.

Example:

Approximate the second derivative of $\exp(x^2)$ at $x = 1$ (equal to $6e = 16.30969097$):

$$\begin{array}{rcc}
 & R_i = & \\
 h & \frac{\exp[(1-h)^2]-2\exp(1)+\exp[(1+h)^2]}{h^2} & S_i = \frac{4R_{i+1}-R_i}{3} \quad \frac{16S_{i+1}-S_i}{15} \\
 \frac{1}{16} & \mathbf{16.377100} & \mathbf{16.309653} \quad \mathbf{16.309712} \\
 \frac{1}{32} & \mathbf{16.326515} & \mathbf{16.309708} \\
 \frac{1}{64} & \mathbf{16.313910} &
 \end{array}$$

The second stage of Richardson extrapolation no longer improves (due to round-off error), unless 15-digit accuracy used:

$$\begin{array}{rcc}
 & R_i = & \\
 h & \frac{\exp[(1-h)^2]-2\exp(1)+\exp[(1+h)^2]}{h^2} & \\
 \frac{1}{16} & \mathbf{16.37709985} & \\
 \frac{1}{32} & \mathbf{16.32651323} & \\
 \frac{1}{64} & \mathbf{16.31389467} & \\
 & S_i = \frac{4R_{i+1}-R_i}{3} & \frac{16S_{i+1}-S_i}{15} \\
 & \mathbf{16.30965102} & \mathbf{16.30969098} \\
 & \mathbf{16.30968848} &
 \end{array}$$

Finally, we derive a formula to approximate $y'''(x_0)$. Minimum of four nodes is needed (always the order of the derivative plus one).

We will choose them at $x_0 \pm h$ and $x_0 \pm 2h$.

In the X scale, the formula must read (utilizing its antisymmetry):

$$-c_2 Y(-2) - c_1 Y(-1) + c_1 Y(1) + c_2 Y(2)$$

Furthermore, it must yield the exact answer with $Y(X) = X$ and X^3 :

$$\begin{aligned}
 2c_2 + c_1 + c_1 + 2c_2 &= 0 \\
 8c_2 + c_1 + c_1 + 8c_2 &= 6
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies $c_1 = -2c_2$ and $c_2 = \frac{1}{2}$.

We thus obtain

$$Y'''(0) \simeq \frac{Y(2) - 2Y(1) + 2Y(-1) - Y(-2)}{2}$$

or, going back to $y(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 y'''(x_0) &\simeq \\
 &\frac{y(x_0 + 2h) - 2y(x_0 + h) + 2y(x_0 - h) - y(x_0 - 2h)}{2h^3}
 \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side expands to

$$y'''(x_0) + \frac{y^{(5)}(x_0)}{4} h^2 + \dots$$

Example:

Approximate the third derivative of $\exp(x^2)$ at $x = 1$ (the exact answer is $20e = 54.36563657$).

We will carry out the computation using 20-digit accuracy:

$$\begin{array}{r}
 h \\
 \frac{1}{32} \\
 \frac{1}{64} \\
 \frac{1}{128}
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{r}
 R_i = \\
 \frac{\exp[(1+2h)^2] - 2\exp[(1+h)^2] + 2\exp[(1-h)^2] - \exp[(1-2h)^2]}{2h^3} \\
 \mathbf{54.57311583} \\
 \mathbf{54.41742711} \\
 \mathbf{54.37857926} \\
 S_i = \frac{4R_{i+1} - R_i}{3} \quad \frac{16S_{i+1} - S_i}{15} \\
 \mathbf{54.36553087} \quad \mathbf{54.36563659} \\
 \mathbf{54.36562998}
 \end{array}$$

Summary:

To derive an m -node (m must be bigger than n) formula for $y^{(n)}(x_0)$:

1. In the X scale, find the values of the c -coefficients by making the formula exact for $y = 1, X, X^2, \dots, X^m$.
2. For symmetric spacing, the c -coefficients are either symmetric (n even - use $1, X^2, \dots$ only) or antisymmetric (n odd - use X, X^3, \dots only).
3. Convert to x scale by changing y_0 to $y(x_0)$, y_1 to $y(x_0 + h)$, etc., and dividing by h^n .
4. The truncation error has terms proportional to $h^{m-n}, h^{m-n+1}, h^{m-n+2}$, etc. in the general (non-symmetric) case. When the nodes are chosen symmetrically, the odd-power (in h) terms disappear.

The **round off error** is due to subtracting numbers of very similar size (this happens in all these formulas, but is more pronounced for higher derivatives). For example, computing $y(x_0 + h) - y(x_0 - h)$, when $y = \exp(x^2)$, $x_0 = 1$, and $h = 0.0001$ yields:

$$\begin{array}{r}
 2.718553670 \\
 -2.718010013 \\
 = 0.000543657
 \end{array}$$

Computing $y(x_0 + h) + y(x_0 - h) - 2y(x_0)$ becomes, using 10 digits, almost impossible:

$$\begin{array}{r}
 5.436563683 \\
 -5.436563656 \\
 = 0.000000027
 \end{array}$$