(Univariate) distribution of X: Example:

$$\begin{array}{rcrcrcrc} \hline X = & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline Pr: & \frac{3}{10} & \frac{4}{10} & \frac{2}{10} & \frac{1}{10} \\ \hline \mu & = & 0 \cdot \frac{3}{10} + 1 \cdot \frac{4}{10} + 2 \cdot \frac{2}{10} + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{10} = \frac{11}{10} \\ \\ Var(X) & = & \mathbb{E}\left((X - \mu)^2\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(X^2\right) - \mu^2 = \frac{21}{10} - \left(\frac{11}{10}\right)^2 = \frac{89}{100} \\ \\ \Rightarrow & \sigma = \sqrt{0.89} = 0.9434 \\ \\ Skewness & = & \frac{\mathbb{E}\left((X - \mu)^3\right)}{\sigma^3} \qquad (dimensionless) \\ \\ Kurtosis & = & \frac{\mathbb{E}\left((X - \mu)^4\right)}{\sigma^4} \end{array}$$

Transforming X

$$Y = (X - 2)^2$$

defines a new RV Y with its own (not so easy to construct - topic of Chapter 9) distribution. BUT, it is still easy to compute its expected value (and variance), thus

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left(Y\right) &= (0-2)^2 \cdot \frac{3}{10} + (1-2)^2 \cdot \frac{4}{10} + (2-2)^2 \cdot \frac{2}{10} + (3-2)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{10} = \frac{17}{10} \\ \mathbb{E}\left(g(X)\right) &= \sum_{\text{All } i} g(i) \cdot \Pr(X=i) \end{split}$$

Note that the answer is NOT equal to  $(\mu - 2)^2$  !!!

In general

$$\mathbb{E}\left(g(X)\right) = \sum_{\text{All } i} g(i) \cdot \Pr(X = i) \neq g(\mu)$$

There is one important exception to this, when the transformation is **linear**, meaning  $Y = a \cdot X + b$ , in which case

$$\mathbb{E}(Y) = a \cdot \mu + b$$
  
Var(X) =  $a^2 \cdot Var(X)$ 

**Bivariate case:** We have to be able to construct two *marginal* distributions and any one of the *conditional* distributions, eg.

$X \mid Y = -1$	1	2	3
Pr:	$\frac{12}{33}$	$\frac{13}{33}$	$\frac{8}{33}$

base on which we can compute  $\mathbb{E}(X \mid Y = -1)$ ,  $Var(X \mid Y = -1)$  etc. Bivariate transformation:

$$U = h(X, Y)$$

Not so easy to find the new (univariate) distribution of U, but easy enough to find the moments of U, e.g.  $U = (X - Y)^2$  by building a table of the values of U, thus

1	4	9	16
0	1	4	9
1	0	1	4

then multiplying each of these values by the corresponding (bivariate) probability and adding the results over the whole table, getting

$$\mathbb{E}(U) = \frac{4 \cdot 12 + 9 \cdot 13 + 16 \cdot 8 + 15 + 9 \cdot 10 + 9 + 6}{100} = 4.13$$

In general:

$$\mathbb{E}\left(h(X,Y)\right) = \sum_{\text{All } i,j} h(i,j) \cdot \Pr(X = i \cap Y = j) \neq h(\mu_x,\mu_y)$$

Important **exception**, when the (bivariate) transformation is **linear**, i.e.  $U = a \cdot X + b \cdot Y + c$ 

$$\mathbb{E}(a \cdot X + b \cdot Y + c) = a \cdot \mu_x + b \cdot \mu_y + c$$
  
Var  $(a \cdot X + b \cdot Y + c) = a^2 \operatorname{Var}(X) + b^2 \operatorname{Var}(Y)$   
 $+ 2ab \cdot \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)$ 

**Covariance** of X and Y is defined as their  $(1^{st}, 1^{st})$  joint central moment, namely

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}\left( (X - \mu_x) \cdot (Y - \mu_y) \right)$$

**Independence** of X and Y (easy to tell) implies that

$$\Pr(X = i \cap Y = j) = \Pr(X = i) \cdot \Pr(Y = j)$$

for any i, j pair, implying that we no longer need a table of their joint probabilities - all we need is the two individual (univariate) distributions. Independence implies that in general

$$\mathbb{E}\left(g(X) \cdot h(Y)\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(g(X)\right) \cdot \mathbb{E}\left(h(Y)\right)$$

Special case: Cov(X, Y) = 0 (but not reverse)! This simplifies the formula for Var of linear combination (of any number of independent RVs).

**Correlation coefficient** is defined by

$$\rho = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_x \cdot \sigma_y} \qquad \text{also dimensionless}$$

(equal to zero when X and Y are independent). One can show that  $-1 \le \rho \le 1$ .

Final (important) definition of *probability generating function* (PGF) of a univariate distribution:

$$P(z) = \mathbb{E}(z^X) = p_0 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \dots$$

enables us to compute (rather easily) the **factorial** moments of X:

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = P'(z)|_{z=1}$$
  

$$\mathbb{E}(X(X-1)) = P''(z)|_{z=1}$$
  

$$\mathbb{E}(X(X-1)(X-2)) = P'''(z)|_{z=1}$$

When X and Y are *independent* 

$$P_{X+Y}(z) = P_x(z) \cdot P_y(z)$$

Special case: when  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  are IID (called **random independent sample**)

$$P_{\rm sum}(z) = P(z)^n$$

**Central Limit Theorem** (aka Normal approximation) claims that the corresponding  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  (a single RV) has the mean of  $n\mu$  and variance of  $n\sigma^2$  (which follows from the above rules), and its distribution is approximately **Normal** (with the same mean and variance). This implies that

$$\Pr\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \le c\right) \simeq \Pr\left(Z < \frac{\tilde{c} - n\mu}{\sqrt{n} \cdot \sigma}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{rns} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2}\right) dz$$

where Z is a RV having the **standardized** (mean 0, variance equal to 1) Normal distribution. When sampling a *discrete* distribution, c must be slightly increased (to  $\tilde{c}$ ) to lie exactly half way between two potential values of the sum; this is the so-called **continuity correction**.