

SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

Any stationary Time-Series sequence will normally exhibit random cycles of various frequencies. Depending on the model, some frequencies are more likely to occur than others. The likelihood of generating a random cycle of a given frequency can be expressed in terms of the so called SPECTRAL DENSITY, which is computed by matching the X_i sequence to an *exact* sin/cos sequence of a specific (angular) frequency β , i.e. $Y_n \equiv \sin(\beta n + \theta)$, and adjusting the phase shift θ to yield a maximum value of the following quantity:

$$\omega(\beta) \equiv \frac{\left[\sum_{n=1}^N X_n \cdot \sin(\beta n + \theta) \right]^2}{\sum_{n=1}^N X_n^2}$$

(reminiscent of computing $\frac{N}{2}\rho^2$ between the two sequences). Note that it is sufficient to take β from the $[0, \pi]$ interval, since $\omega(\beta) = \omega(\beta + 2\pi) = \omega(-\beta)$.

To maximize

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\sum_{n=1}^N X_n \cdot \sin(\beta n + \theta) \right]^2 = \\ & \left[\cos \theta \sum_{n=1}^N X_n \cdot \sin(\beta n) + \sin \theta \sum_{n=1}^N X_n \cdot \cos(\beta n) \right]^2 \equiv \\ & (\cos \theta \cdot S + \sin \theta \cdot C)^2 \end{aligned}$$

we differentiate with respect to θ , getting

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(\cos \theta \cdot S + \sin \theta \cdot C)(-\sin \theta \cdot S + \cos \theta \cdot C) = \\ & 2 \sin \theta \cos \theta (C^2 - S^2) + 2SC(\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) \end{aligned}$$

Setting this expression to zero yields four solutions (first two maximize, last two minimize):

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \theta &= \frac{C}{\sqrt{S^2 + C^2}}, \frac{-C}{\sqrt{S^2 + C^2}}, \frac{S}{\sqrt{S^2 + C^2}}, \frac{-S}{\sqrt{S^2 + C^2}} \\ \cos \theta &= \frac{S}{\sqrt{S^2 + C^2}}, \frac{-S}{\sqrt{S^2 + C^2}}, \frac{-C}{\sqrt{S^2 + C^2}}, \frac{C}{\sqrt{S^2 + C^2}} \end{aligned}$$

The maximum value of the original expression is thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{S}{\sqrt{S^2 + C^2}} \cdot S + \frac{C}{\sqrt{S^2 + C^2}} \cdot C \right)^2 = \\ & S^2 + C^2 = \left[\sum_{n=1}^N X_n \cdot \sin(\beta n) \right]^2 + \left[\sum_{n=1}^N X_n \cdot \cos(\beta n) \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

The spectral density can thus be redefined as

$$\omega(\beta) \equiv \frac{\left[\sum_{n=1}^N X_n \cdot \sin(\beta n) \right]^2 + \left[\sum_{n=1}^N X_n \cdot \cos(\beta n) \right]^2}{\sum_{n=1}^N X_n^2}$$

which is more convenient computationally (no messing up with θ), but loses the transparency of its original meaning.

So far, we have made only an 'empirical' definition of $\omega(\beta)$, providing, effectively, only its *estimator*. The 'theoretical' definition of spectral density uses the *expected value* of the previous expression in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. Realizing that $\sin(\beta n) \equiv \frac{\exp(i n \beta) - \exp(-i n \beta)}{2i}$ and $\cos(\beta n) \equiv \frac{\exp(i n \beta) + \exp(-i n \beta)}{2}$, the numerator of the previous formula can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^N X_n \frac{\exp(i n \beta) - \exp(-i n \beta)}{2i} \cdot \\ & \sum_{k=1}^N X_k \frac{\exp(i k \beta) - \exp(-i k \beta)}{2i} + \\ & \sum_{n=1}^N X_n \frac{\exp(i n \beta) + \exp(-i n \beta)}{2} \cdot \\ & \sum_{k=1}^N X_k \frac{\exp(i k \beta) + \exp(-i k \beta)}{2} = \\ & 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N X_n \cdot X_k \frac{\exp[i(n-k)\beta] + \exp[-i(n-k)\beta]}{4} = \\ & \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N X_n \cdot X_k \cdot \cos[\beta(n-k)] = \\ & (N - |j|) \cdot Var(X) \sum_{j=-N+1}^{N-1} \rho_j \cos(\beta j) \end{aligned}$$

This, divided by N , yields $Var(X) \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_j \cos(\beta j)$ in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. Similarly

$\frac{\sum_{n=1}^N X_n^2}{N} \rightarrow Var(X)$. Thus, we get

$$\omega(\beta) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_j \cos(\beta j) \equiv \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_j \exp(i \beta j)$$

For the white-noise model, this results in $\omega(\beta) \equiv 1$ (one is always the *average* value of any model).

For our autoregression models, there is yet another way of expressing $\omega(\beta)$, this time directly in terms of the model's α coefficients. First we rewrite

$$X_n = \alpha_1 X_{n-1} + \alpha_2 X_{n-2} + \alpha_3 X_{n-3} + \dots + \epsilon_n$$

as

$$X_n = \alpha_1 \mathcal{B} X_n + \alpha_2 \mathcal{B}^2 X_n + \alpha_3 \mathcal{B}^3 X_n + \dots + \epsilon_n$$

where \mathcal{B} is an operator which *decreases* the index of its argument by one. In the formal manner, the previous equation can be rearranged as follows

$$X_n - \alpha_1 \mathcal{B} X_n - \alpha_2 \mathcal{B}^2 X_n - \alpha_3 \mathcal{B}^3 X_n + \dots = \epsilon_n$$

and 'solved' (rather symbolically) for X_n , thus:

$$X_n = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_1 \mathcal{B} - \alpha_2 \mathcal{B}^2 - \alpha_3 \mathcal{B}^3 + \dots} \epsilon_n \equiv f(\mathcal{B}) \epsilon_n$$

To find an *explicit* solution for X_n , all we have to do is to expand $f(x)$ into the usual Taylor series $f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + f_3 x^3 + \dots$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= (f_0 + f_1 \mathcal{B} + f_2 \mathcal{B}^2 + f_3 \mathcal{B}^3 + \dots) \epsilon_n = \\ &f_0 \epsilon_n + f_1 \epsilon_{n-1} + f_2 \epsilon_{n-2} + f_3 \epsilon_{n-3} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Now, since

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_k &\equiv \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_n \cdot X_{n+k})}{\text{Var}(X_n)} = \frac{1}{\text{Var}(X_n)} \cdot \\ &\mathbb{E}[(f_0 \epsilon_n + f_1 \epsilon_{n-1} + f_2 \epsilon_{n-2} + f_3 \epsilon_{n-3} + \dots) \cdot \\ &(f_0 \epsilon_{n+k} + f_1 \epsilon_{n+k-1} + f_2 \epsilon_{n+k-2} + f_3 \epsilon_{n+k-3} + \dots)] \\ &= \frac{(f_0 f_k + f_1 f_{k+1} + f_2 f_{k+2} + f_3 f_{k+3} + \dots) \sigma^2}{\text{Var}(X_n)} = \\ &\frac{\sigma^2}{\text{Var}(X_n)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j f_{j+k} \end{aligned}$$

for k non-negative. We can actually extend the previous formula to cover any k by writing

$$\rho_k = \frac{\sigma^2}{\text{Var}(X_n)} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j f_{j+k}$$

with the understanding that $f_{-1} = f_{-2} = \dots \equiv 0$.

Our spectral density $\omega(\beta)$ can now be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\sigma^2}{\text{Var}(X_n)} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j f_{j+k} \exp(i k \beta) = \\ &\frac{\sigma^2}{\text{Var}(X_n)} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j \exp(-i j \beta) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{j+k} \exp(i \beta)^{j+k} = \\ &\frac{\sigma^2}{\text{Var}(X_n)} f(e^{i \beta}) \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j \exp(-i j \beta) = \frac{\sigma^2 f(e^{i \beta}) f(e^{-i \beta})}{\text{Var}(X_n)} \end{aligned}$$

Examples:

1. Markov model: Since $Var(X_n) = \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\rho^2}$ and $f(x) = \frac{1}{1-\rho x}$, we get

$$\omega(\beta) = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{(1 - \rho e^{i\beta})(1 - \rho e^{-i\beta})} = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 - 2\rho \cos \beta + \rho^2}$$

(try to visualize the shape of the corresponding function).

2. Yule model:

$$\omega(\beta) = \frac{(1 + \alpha_2)(1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(1 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_1)}{(1 - \alpha_2)(1 - \alpha_1 e^{i\beta} - \alpha_2 e^{2i\beta})(1 - \alpha_1 e^{-i\beta} - \alpha_2 e^{-2i\beta})} =$$

$$\frac{(1 + \alpha_2)(1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(1 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_1)}{(1 - \alpha_2)[1 + \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 - 2\alpha_1(1 - \alpha_2) \cos \beta - 2\alpha_2 \cos 2\beta]}$$

3. The $X_i = 0.3 X_{i-1} + 0.1 X_{i-2} - 0.2 X_{i-3} + \epsilon_i$ model: We already know that $\frac{Var(X_i)}{\sigma^2} = 1.15$. Thus,

$$\omega(\beta) = \frac{1}{1.15(1 - 0.3e^{i\beta} - 0.1e^{2i\beta} + 0.2e^{3i\beta})(1 - 0.3e^{-i\beta} - 0.1e^{-2i\beta} + 0.2e^{-3i\beta})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1.15(1.14 - 0.58 \cos \beta - 0.32 \cos 2\beta + 0.4 \cos 3\beta)}$$

Moving Averages (Filtering)

It is sometimes desirable to modify a sequence generated by any of the previous (autoregressive, e.g. AR) models to (for example) smooth out its random oscillations. This can be achieved by converting it into a new sequence of a so called moving averages, thus

$$Y_i \equiv \frac{X_i + X_{i-1}}{2}$$

or

$$Y_i = \frac{X_i + X_{i-1} + X_{i-2}}{3}$$

etc.

$$Y_i = \gamma_0 X_i + \gamma_1 X_{i-1} + \gamma_2 X_{i-2} + \dots$$

in general (this will correspond to an 'average' only when the sum of all γ s equals to 1, but one can eventually allow anything else, e.g. $Y_i = X_i - X_{i-1}$ - exploring the daily increases/decreases, etc). Note that the new Y_i sequence is no longer Markovian. Nevertheless, since

$$Y_i = (\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \mathcal{B} + \gamma_2 \mathcal{B}^2 + \dots) X_i =$$

$$\frac{\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \mathcal{B} + \gamma_2 \mathcal{B}^2 + \dots}{1 - \alpha_1 \mathcal{B} - \alpha_2 \mathcal{B}^2 - \alpha_3 \mathcal{B}^3 + \dots} \epsilon_n \equiv f(\mathcal{B}) \epsilon_n$$

the formulas of the previous section for finding ρ_k and constructing the corresponding spectrum still hold.

We can also *design* the $\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \mathcal{B} + \gamma_2 \mathcal{B}^2 + \dots$ operator to deliberately suppress (up to total elimination) or emphasize certain frequencies, this procedure is then called *filtering*.

The corresponding $\omega(\beta)$ function is then called the filter's *response*, clearly indicating which frequencies will get suppressed (or emphasized), and by what factor.

Or, we can simply use

$$Y_i = \frac{\gamma_0 + \gamma_1\mathcal{B} + \gamma_2\mathcal{B}^2 + \dots}{1 - \alpha_1\mathcal{B} - \alpha_2\mathcal{B}^2 - \alpha_3\mathcal{B}^3 + \dots} \epsilon_n$$

as a general model of a random process, making it thus more flexible than the autoregressive model alone (the new model is usually called ARMA, for obvious reasons).